## Case 2:05-cr-00125-MCE Document 263 Filed 02/01/08 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
Darnell Foster,	Plaintiff,	) No. 2:05-cr-125 MCE )
	v.  Defendant.	DETENTION ORDER (Violation of Pretrial Release, Probation or Supervised Release)
After a hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3148 (violation of pretrial release order), the court finds :		
there is probable cause to believe the person has committed a federal, state or local crime while on release and defendant has not rebutted the presumption that his release will endanger another or the community or there is clear and convincing evidence that defendant has violated another condition of release and based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) there is no condition or combination of conditions of release that will assure that the defendant will not flee or pose a danger to the safety of another person or the community or the person is unlikely to abide by any condition or combination of conditions of release. F.R.Cr.P. 32.1(a)(D), 46(c), 18 U.S.C. § 3148.  X After a hearing pursuant to F.R.Cr.P. 32.1(a)(6) and 46(c) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143 (violation of probation or supervised release) the court finds there is probable cause to believe defendant has violated a condition of probation or supervised release and defendant has not met his burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he will not flee or pose a danger to another person or to the community. 18 U.S.C. § 3143.		
custody of the practicable, fro defendant shall order of a cour the corrections	Attorney General for confinement in a om persons awaiting or serving sentence be afforded reasonable opportunity for tof the United States or request of an area.	§ 3142(i)(2)-(4) defendant is committed to the a corrections facility separate, to the extent ces or being held in custody pending appeal. The or private consultation with his counsel. Upon furthe attorney for the United States the person in charge of d shall deliver defendant to a United States Marshal ourt proceeding.

DATED: 2/1/08

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE